

Proceeding Andalas International Conference 2014

Eradicating Corruption : An Inter-Disciplinary Perspective

Editor :

Prof. Dr. Henk Addink
Prof. Dr. Saldi Isra, SH. MPA.
Prof. Dr. Tenneke Lambooy,
Aikaterini Argyrou, PhD
Dr. Shinta Agustina, SH. MH.
Dr. Zainul Daulay, SH. MH
Yoserwan, SH. MH. LLM.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	<i>Student of Doctoral Program Andalas University</i>	i
Table of Contents		iii
PART I : DEFINITIONS OF CORRUPTION		
1. Defining Corruption in Indonesia : What Is The “Corrupt Behavior”?		1
<i>By: Erika Magdalena Chandra, Lecturer of Faculty of Law Padjadjaran University</i>		3
2. Defining Corruption		9
<i>By: Vinadita Utari, Student of Faculty of Law Andalas University</i>		
PART II : CORRUPTION FROM CRIMINAL LAW PERSPECTIVE		
1. Utilizing Money Laundering Act in Eradicating Corruption in Indonesia		13
<i>By : Yoserwan, Lecturer of Law faculty Andalas University</i>		15
2. The system of Responsibility and Punishment for the Province/ Municipality/ District and Governor/Mayor/Regent in Corruption Criminal Action		35
<i>By: July Esther, Lecturer of Faculty of Law Borneo Universit</i>		
3. Urgency Issue of Assistance Advocate for Witness in Corruption Investigation in Indonesia		49
<i>By: Cahya Wulandari, and Indung Wijayanto, Lectuter of Faculty of Law, Semarang State University</i>		
4. Prevention and Eradication Of Corruption Crime in Indonesia		62
<i>By: Septa Candra. Lecturer of Faculty of Law, Muhammadyah Jakarta University</i>		
5. Role In Revealing Police Investigators Crime Corruption		71
<i>By: Henny Saida Flora, Lecturer of Faculty of Law St. Thomas University</i>		
PART III : CORRUPTION FROM CIVIL AND ECONOMIC LAW PERSPECTIVE		
1. Corruption And Public Management Reform (Closing The Space Where Corruption Is)		79
<i>By: Owen Podger, Representative of UNODC, Jakarta</i>		81
2. Director's Liability For Loss In the management of State-Owned Company (Persero)		92
<i>By: Eny Suastuti, Lecturer of Faculty of Law Trunojoyo University</i>		
3. Role of the Financial Services Authority (FSA) In Combating Corruption		100
<i>By: Edi Yunara and Daldiri, Lecturers of Faculty of Law University of North Sumatera</i>		
4. The Restitution Effort For State Finance Trough Civil Suit		113
<i>By: Wiwin Dwi Ratna F, Lecturer of Faculty of Law, Borneo University</i>		
5. The Application of Good Governance Principle As Nonpenal Effort To Overcome Corruption		126
<i>By: Indung Wijayanto and Cahya Wulandari, , Lectuter of Faculty of Law, Semarang State University</i>		

6. Corporate Crime in Conjunction with Corruption Cases in Indonesia (Lack of Criminal Sanction or Failure of Business Ethics?) <i>By: Suhartati, Lecturer of Faculty of Law, University of Surabaya</i>	140
PART IV : CORRUPTION FROM CONSTITUTIONAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAW PERSPECTIVE	153
1. Crime Prevention Of Taxation Optimization For A Reception State Financial <i>By: Gusminarti and Khairani, Lecturer of Faculty of Law Andalas University</i>	155
PART V : CORRUPTION FROM ENVIRONMENTAL AND NATURAL RESOURCES LAW PERSPECTIVE	159
1. Corruption And Legal Reform (The Urgency Of Formulation Forestry Crime Conducted By Official In Term Of Systematical Specificity Prevailing In The Corruption Act) <i>By: Wartiningsih, Lecturer of Faculty of Law Trunojoyo University</i>	161
2. Corruption in Accessing and Utilizing the Common Pool Resources in Indonesia (from the Environmental Law Perspective) <i>By: Lengga Pradipta, Lecturer of Muhammadiyah University of West Sumatera</i>	172
PART VI : CORRUPTION FROM INTERNATIONAL LAW PERSPECTIVE	181
1. Utilizing International Cooperation to Combat Corruption: Australia's Experience <i>By: Mark McCormack, Acting Director, Mutual Assistance Unit, International Crime Cooperation Division, Commonwealth Attorney-General's Department, Canberra, Australia</i>	183
2. Asset Recovery Efforts of Corruption Abroad <i>By: Hery Firmansyah Lecturer of Faculty of Law Gadjah Mada University</i>	189
PART VII : CORRUPTION FROM SOCIAL, CULTURAL AND HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE	199
1. Corruption on Cultural Frame <i>By: Indah Febriani, Lecturer of Law School, Sriwijaya University</i>	201
2. Understanding Corruption: (Considering Historical and Social Perspectives in Dealing with Corruption) <i>By: Wanodyo Sulistyani, Lecturer of Faculty of Law Padjadjaran University</i>	217
3. TYPE AND MODE OF ACTORS OF CRIMINAL ACTORS CRIMINAL OFFENCE CORRUPTION <i>By: Royan Antory Adyan, Lecturer of Faculty of Law, University of Bengkulu</i>	228
4. The Education of Anticorruption at Madrasah <i>By: Elismawati, Lecturer of IAIN Imam Bondjol Padang</i>	236
5. Anti Corruption Values in Teaching and Learning Process at English Department Andalas University <i>By: Josefino and Ike Revita, Lecturer of Faculty of Letters Analas University</i>	245

PART VIII : CORRUPTION FROM GENERAL PERSPECTIVE	249
	251
1. Organizational Corruption	
<i>By: Nani Mulyati, Student of Doctoral Program University of Indonesia, and Aria Zurnetti, Student of Doctoral Program Andalas University</i>	
2. Disfunctionalization Bureaucracy As An Obstacle In The Anti Corruption	263
<i>By: Anastasia Reni Widjastuti, Lecturer of Faculty of Law St Thomas University</i>	
3. Four Steps For Eradicating Corruption	269
<i>By: Dhia Al Uyun, Lecturer of Faculty of Law Brawijaya University</i>	
4. Eradicating Corruption in Indonesia's Health Sector	281
<i>By: Frima Elda, Doctoral Student of Public Health Faculty, University of Indonesia</i>	
5. A Human Right Based Approach to Fight Against Corruption	295
<i>By: Nukila Evanty, Student of Doctoral Program University of Indonesia</i>	

ATTACHMENT

1. Term of Reference of Andalas International Conference
2. Agenda of the Conference of Andalas International Conference
3. The Organization of the Committee of Andalas International Conference
4. Foto's of the Activity of Andalas International Conference

Term of Reference (ToR)

Andalas International Conference

"Eradicating Corruption: An Inter-Disciplinary Perspective"

Mercure Hotel Padang, Indonesia, 5 - 6 June 2014

Background

The Indonesian struggle to defeat corruption as one of the great enemies of the Indonesian nation is still far from the expectations. Previous corruption still haunt the nation of Indonesia is reflected by various media that show the corruption that still dominate the mass media. Another indication that shows the effort of the Indonesian fighting against corruption is also reflected on the Corruption Perception Index (CPI) which have not shown significant improvement since the commencement of the fight against corruption. This is evident from the Corruption Perception Index released by Transparency International (TI) in 2013. In 2013, Indonesia was in position 114 out of 177 countries measured, with the score of 3.2. In 2012, Indonesia was in position 118 out of 174 countries. Indonesia has shown an increase from the previous year position 118 out of 174 countries. Indonesia has shown improvement in terms of the score, which is categorized as a country with a very high risk of corruption. Compared to the previous year and the position of the numbers achieved by the Indonesian nation, it is still far from the efforts and expectations.

Not only being corruption does not only spark the national attention of a state but it also attract the interest of international community. The pronouncement of the 2003 UN Convention on Corruption is an evidence of the increasing interest of international community to deal with this issue. The Convention requires cooperation among state parties to seek and find a proper mechanism in preventing and combating corruption. Indonesia has attempted hard to develop laws and institutions to deal with the corruption but the issue grows faster than the legislation and institution developments.

Recognizing that the eradication of corruption is not an easy task, Faculty of Law Andalas University feel necessary to assist the Government's effort by identifying the hurdles and obstacles that

Proceeding : Andalas International Conference 2014 Eradicating Corruption : An Inter-Disciplinary Perspective

Editor :

Prof. Dr. Henk Addink
Prof. Dr. Saldi Isra, SH.MPA.
Prof. Dr. Tinneke Lambooy,
Aikaterini Argyrou, PhD
Dr. Shinta Agustina, SH.MH.
Dr. Zainul Daulay, SH.MH
Yoserwan, SH.MH.LLM.

Ilustrasi Sampul dan Penata Isi : Dyans Fahrezionaldo

Hak Cipta pada Penulis

Andalas University Press
Jl. Situjuh No. 1, Padang 25129, Telp/Faks. : 0751-27066
email : cebitunand@ymail.com
facebook : AU Press (Andalas University Press)

Anggota :
Asosiasi Penerbit Perguruan Tinggi Indonesia (APPTI)

Cetakan :
I. Padang, 2015

ISBN : 978-602-8821-90-2

Hak Cipta dilindungi Undang Undang.

Dilarang mengutip atau memperbanyak sebahagian atau seluruh isi buku tanpa izin tertulis dari penerbit.

Isi di luar tanggung jawab percetakan

Ketentuan Pidana Pasal 72 UU No. 19 Tahun 2002

1. Barang siapa dengan sengaja dan tanpa hak melakukan perbuatan sebagaimana dimaksud dalam pasal 2 ayat (1) atau pasal 49 ayat (1) dan ayat (2) dipidana dengan pidana penjara paling singkat 1 (satu) bulan dan/atau denda paling sedikit Rp. 1.000.000.- (satu juta rupiah) atau pidana penjara paling lama 7 (tujuh) tahun dan/atau denda paling banyak Rp. 5.000.000.000.- (lima miliar rupiah).
2. Barang siapa dengan sengaja menyiarakan, memamerkan, mengedarkan, atau menjual kepada umum suatu Ciptaan atau barang hasil pelanggaran Hak Cipta atau Hak terkait sebagaimana dimaksud pada ayat (1), dipidana dengan pidana penjara lama 5 (lima) tahun dan/atau denda paling banyak Rp. 500.000.000.- (lima ratus juta rupiah).

B.F.

PREFACE

Proceeding :

Andalas International Conference

2014

Eradicating Corruption : An Inter-Disciplinary Perspective



Andalas University
Faculty of Law

The United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
The International Institute of Justice and the Rule of Law
Universiteit Utrecht
Faculty of Law, Economic and Governance

KPK
Komisi Pemberantasan Korupsi

UNODC
United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime



Kementerian Hukum dan
HAM Republik Indonesia

**TRANSPARENCY
INTERNATIONAL**
Indonesia
the global coalition against corruption



Andalas University Press

ASSET RECOVERY EFFORTS OF CORRUPTION ABROAD

By: Hery Firmansyah

BACKGROUND

Corruption in Indonesia begins to penetrate into all aspects of people's and statehood life. In development, corruption is not only increasingly widespread, however, it is systematically undertaken, so it's not solely inflict state financial loss but also it has violated social and economics of people. Therefore, corruptions are classified as extraordinary crime.¹

Indonesia is like paradise for corruptors, moreover, for those who have links with power and celebrities, when their case are processed, it's merely a formal procedure, with the reasons of public demand, although, there might be proceed to the court and sentenced by judge's decision, they are just petty corruptors, whereas many high class corruptors are acquitted or even escaped abroad first.²

When focusing on the legislative policy, basically, corruption occurs systematically and widespread, it also has violated social and economic rights of the people. As the logical consequences, in order to actualize an equitable and prosperous society, there should be a continuous action to also what can't be set aside is preventive efforts, eradication of corruption (repressive) and restorative approach. Preventive action can be defined to develop public perception in which, there is a safe place in the world for the corruptors to conceal their assets. Subsequently, repressive action can be defined as how the corruptors sentenced in accordance with principle of justice and proportional sentencing based on levels of guilt. Restorative action, one of which is asset recovery of corruptor in criminal action, civil lawsuit, which is *perampasan In Rem*, a state action to take their assets through court decisions in civil case based on stronger evidence whether the assets allegedly come from criminal act or used for criminal act as well as international cooperation and mutual assistance in criminal matters between corruption victim state or country of origin and sending state of corruption assets or custodial state.³

In line with public demand to confiscate⁴ and to seize assets or corruptor's wealth, President Bambang Yudhoyono issued a Presidential Instruction No.5 of 2004 which ordered law enforcement officer to recover state assets (asset recovery). In practice it is not easy to confiscate assets of corruptors, because corruption is generally done by persons belonging to white collar, that is those who have the authority and / or expertise in their field, so that the exposure of the corruption takes longer time after the act is done and when the results of corruption has been secured by the corruptors. The security of corruption assets was carried out with sophisticated engineering and tidy using legal loopholes which are well-protected.

In accordance with the development of types and modes of crimes as mentioned above, the acts to punish the criminals in the conventional manner is by applying imprisonment for criminals. However this procedure is not always successful in reducing the crime rates. Aside from giving a deterrent effect for criminals, punishment must be able to disarm the criminals in relation with

¹ Kurniawan, 2009, Bribery in Corruption, Jakarta : Pusat Pendidikan dan Pelatihan Kejaksaan Press, hlm, 1.

² Sumaryanto, January 2005, "Investigation Model Draft of Corruption in Indonesia", Law Enforcement, page. 12; see also Klaigis, "Corruption as a criminal act that should be eradicated: Character and Practice of Law in Indonesia", *Jurnal Kajian Hukum*, Vol. 11 No.2, August 2006, page. 152

³ Haryadi, 2006, Asset Recovery of Corruptors based on Indonesian Corruption Act Post-Ratification of the UN Convention against Corruption, 2003, page.1-2

⁴ Confiscation or seizure is different from confiscation. Confiscation as provided in Article 54-55 of the UNCAC, definition as stipulated in Article 2 (g) of UNCAC is "repeal wealth permanently". In contrast to freezing or seizure as stipulated in Article 2 (f) of UNCAC, means prohibition of transfer, alteration, diversion or transfer of property, which is temporary.